

# Phase-Weighted Path-Volume Geometry for Distributed Inverse QFT

Jonathan R. Landers

May 15, 2026

A standalone extension note connecting distributed iQFT routing costs with the path-volume framework of *Path-Length Distributions, Inverse Geometry, and Designed Neighborhood Spectra*.

## Abstract

The distributed inverse Quantum Fourier Transform is usually analyzed by counting which remote controlled-phase interactions remain after approximation and pruning. A routing extension then asks how far those retained interactions travel through a physical QPU interconnect. This note adds a more geometric layer, applying the path-length distribution program from *Path-Length Distributions, Inverse Geometry, and Designed Neighborhood Spectra* to distributed iQFT routing [2]. The exponential phase law of the iQFT induces a metric on logical QPU blocks; thresholding weak phase interactions is equivalent to taking a ball graph in that induced metric. Once a placement into hardware is chosen, the retained interactions define a phase-weighted routing spectrum: a probability measure on physical route lengths. The ordinary routing cost is the first moment of this spectrum. A Gray-code hypercube placement becomes a spectral compactification result, placing all retained phase-weighted routing mass inside radius  $\min\{D, \log_2 P\}$ . Replacing shortest-route distance by route-volume distributions then yields an inverse-design problem: choose a physical QPU graph whose path-volume law matches the communication spectrum demanded by the distributed iQFT.

## 1 Two starting points

This note joins two related viewpoints. The first is architectural: the inverse Quantum Fourier Transform is a circuit-level object on a single processor, but in a distributed architecture it acquires geometry. If a controlled phase rotation acts between qubits on the same QPU, it is local. If it acts between qubits on different QPUs, it becomes a communication event. Approximate distributed iQFT constructions exploit the fact that phase angles decay exponentially with separation, so weak long-range rotations can be pruned. After pruning, one obtains a retained logical communication graph and must route its edges through a physical interconnect [1, 3].

The second viewpoint comes directly from the companion path-volume paper *Path-Length Distributions, Inverse Geometry, and Designed Neighborhood Spectra* [2]. In Euclidean space, the collection of one-waypoint paths between two endpoints has a specific path-volume law: equal-length sets are ellipses, and the density of available detours follows from area growth. More generally, a metric-measure space induces path-length spectra. Conversely, one may try to infer or design a geometry whose path-volume or neighborhood spectra have a prescribed shape.

### Central idea

The distributed iQFT does not merely run on a physical graph. Its phase decay law induces a logical geometry. A hardware placement turns that logical geometry into a phase-weighted routing spectrum, and architecture design becomes an inverse problem over physical path-volume geometry.

In slogan form,

$$\text{iQFT phase decay} \implies \text{logical phase geometry} \implies \text{physical routing spectrum.}$$

## 2 Distributed iQFT as a logical interaction graph

Let there be  $P$  QPUs, each holding  $Q$  logical qubits, so  $n = PQ$ . Logical node  $p \in \{0, \dots, P-1\}$  holds the block

$$B_p = \{pQ, pQ+1, \dots, (p+1)Q-1\}.$$

The inverse QFT contains controlled phase rotations whose magnitudes decay exponentially with qubit separation. At the node level, after replacing many qubit-level interactions by a block-level abstraction, this motivates a horizon graph.

**Model: horizon- $D$  distributed iQFT graph**

Let

$$G_D = (V, E_D), \quad V = \{0, \dots, P-1\},$$

where

$$(p, q) \in E_D \iff 0 < |p - q| \leq D.$$

Exact distributed iQFT corresponds to  $D = P - 1$ . Approximate distributed iQFT corresponds to a finite communication horizon  $D \ll P$ .

This graph records which QPU blocks must communicate after pruning. It does not yet say how those communications move through hardware.

**Intuitive Interpretation**

The horizon  $D$  is a geometric shadow of a quantum approximation. Weak long-range phase corrections are removed; the surviving phase corrections form a banded graph on the QPU blocks. The circuit has become a weighted interaction geometry.

**3 The phase-induced metric**

The horizon graph itself comes from the phase law. Let  $a_{pq} \geq 0$  denote effective retained phase magnitude, communication demand, or phase-weighted interaction strength between logical QPU blocks  $p$  and  $q$ . The idealized iQFT scaling is

$$a_{pq} = a_0 b^{-|p-q|}, \quad b > 1.$$

For the usual binary phase hierarchy, one may take  $b = 2$ , up to convention-dependent constants.

**Definition: phase-induced distance**

For positive interaction weights  $a_{pq}$ , define

$$d_\phi(p, q) = -\frac{1}{\log b} \log \left( \frac{a_{pq}}{a_0} \right).$$

When  $a_{pq} = a_0 b^{-|p-q|}$ , this gives  $d_\phi(p, q) = |p - q|$ .

**Proposition 1: exponential phase decay induces a line metric**

Suppose  $a_{pq} = a_0 b^{-|p-q|}$ , with  $b > 1$ . Then

$$d_\phi(p, q) = |p - q|.$$

Consequently, thresholding weak iQFT interactions is equivalent to taking a ball graph in the induced metric:

$$a_{pq} \geq \tau \iff d_\phi(p, q) \leq R_\tau, \quad R_\tau = -\frac{1}{\log b} \log \left( \frac{\tau}{a_0} \right).$$

**Proof.** Substituting the exponential form gives

$$d_\phi(p, q) = -\frac{1}{\log b} \log(b^{-|p-q|}) = |p - q|.$$

The threshold equivalence follows by applying the monotone logarithm to  $a_0 b^{-|p-q|} \geq \tau$ .  $\square$

A robust version is useful when constants or block aggregation distort the ideal law.

**Proposition 2: approximate exponential decay gives a quasi-line geometry**

Suppose there are constants  $0 < c_1 \leq c_2$  and  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$c_1 e^{-\alpha|p-q|} \leq \frac{a_{pq}}{a_0} \leq c_2 e^{-\alpha|p-q|}.$$

Define  $d_\phi(p, q) = -(1/\alpha) \log(a_{pq}/a_0)$ . Then

$$|p - q| - \frac{\log c_2}{\alpha} \leq d_\phi(p, q) \leq |p - q| - \frac{\log c_1}{\alpha}.$$

Thus the induced phase geometry differs from the block-line metric by only an additive constant determined by multiplicative distortion in the phase weights.

**Proof.** Take logarithms of the two-sided bound and multiply by  $-1/\alpha$ , reversing the inequalities.  $\square$

**Intuitive Interpretation**

The iQFT already contains a geometry. Large phase corrections are close. Small phase corrections are far. Taking a negative logarithm turns multiplicative phase decay into additive distance. The familiar banded communication pattern is a metric ball graph, not merely an engineering cutoff.

**4 From scalar routing cost to a routing spectrum**

Let the physical QPU interconnect be

$$G_{\text{phys}} = (V_{\text{phys}}, E_{\text{phys}}), \quad |V_{\text{phys}}| = P.$$

A placement is a bijection  $\sigma : V \rightarrow V_{\text{phys}}$ . Let

$$d_{\text{phys}}(u, v) = \text{dist}_{G_{\text{phys}}}(u, v)$$

be physical graph distance, and attach nonnegative weights  $w_{pq}$  to retained logical edges. These weights may represent remote gates, EPR-pair demand, latency demand, or phase-weighted communication mass. The usual routing functional is

$$C_D(\sigma) = \sum_{(p,q) \in E_D} w_{pq} d_{\text{phys}}(\sigma(p), \sigma(q)).$$

This is a scalar summary. The richer object is the distribution whose first moment it computes.

**Definition: phase-weighted routing spectrum**

Let  $W = \sum_{(p,q) \in E_D} w_{pq}$ . For a placement  $\sigma$ , define

$$\mu_\sigma = \frac{1}{W} \sum_{(p,q) \in E_D} w_{pq} \delta_{d_{\text{phys}}(\sigma(p), \sigma(q))}.$$

This is the phase-weighted distribution of physical route lengths required by the retained distributed iQFT interactions.

**Proposition 3: routing cost is the first spectral moment**

For any placement  $\sigma$ ,

$$\frac{C_D(\sigma)}{W} = \int r d\mu_\sigma(r), \quad C_D(\sigma) = W \mathbb{E}_{\mu_\sigma}[r].$$

**Proof.** Substitute the definition of  $\mu_\sigma$  into the integral and multiply by  $W$ .  $\square$

**Intuitive Interpretation**

The scalar routing cost is not discarded. It becomes the mean of a more informative distribution. The spectrum  $\mu_\sigma$  says how much phase-weighted communication occurs at radius one, radius two, radius three, and so on. A good architecture should not only lower the mean; it should control the tail.

**5 Support and tail bounds**

The routing spectrum immediately converts geometric support information into cost information.

**Proposition 4: support bound**

If  $\text{supp}(\mu_\sigma) \subseteq [0, R]$ , then

$$C_D(\sigma) \leq WR.$$

**Proof.** Since  $r \leq R$  on the support of  $\mu_\sigma$ ,

$$C_D(\sigma) = W \int r d\mu_\sigma(r) \leq W \int R d\mu_\sigma(r) = WR.$$

□

**Proposition 5: tail-mass routing bound**

Assume the physical graph has diameter  $\Delta$ . If  $\mu_\sigma([R, \Delta]) \leq \beta$ , then

$$C_D(\sigma) \leq W((1 - \beta)R + \beta\Delta) = W(R + \beta(\Delta - R)).$$

**Proof.** At least  $1 - \beta$  of the mass lies at radius at most  $R$ , and at most  $\beta$  lies beyond  $R$ . Since no radius exceeds  $\Delta$ , the stated expectation bound follows. □

The long-distance tail is not merely a visual feature of a distribution. It directly bounds how much weighted communication cost can leak into expensive routes.

**6 Hypercube placement as spectral compactification**

Assume  $P = 2^m$ . Let the physical graph be the  $m$ -dimensional hypercube

$$H_m = \{0, 1\}^m,$$

where two vertices are adjacent if their binary labels differ in exactly one coordinate. The graph distance is Hamming distance, so

$$\text{diam}(H_m) = m = \log_2 P.$$

Place logical blocks by Gray code,

$$\sigma(p) = \text{Gray}(p) = p \oplus (p \gg 1),$$

so consecutive Gray-code words differ in exactly one bit.

**Proposition 6: Gray-code hypercube embedding of the phase geometry**

Let  $P = 2^m$ , let  $G_{\text{phys}} = H_m$ , and place logical nodes by  $\sigma(p) = \text{Gray}(p)$ . For every pair  $p, q$ ,

$$d_{H_m}(\sigma(p), \sigma(q)) \leq \min\{|p - q|, \log_2 P\}.$$

If  $d_\phi(p, q) = |p - q|$ , then

$$d_{H_m}(\sigma(p), \sigma(q)) \leq \min\{d_\phi(p, q), \log_2 P\}.$$

Consequently, for every retained horizon- $D$  edge,

$$d_{H_m}(\sigma(p), \sigma(q)) \leq \min\{D, \log_2 P\}.$$

**Proof.** Every pair of vertices in  $H_m$  is separated by at most  $m = \log_2 P$ , giving the diameter bound. Gray-code ordering gives  $d_{H_m}(\sigma(r), \sigma(r+1)) = 1$ . If  $q > p$ , then  $\sigma(p), \sigma(p+1), \dots, \sigma(q)$  is a path of length  $q - p$ , so  $d_{H_m}(\sigma(p), \sigma(q)) \leq |p - q|$ . Combining the two bounds proves the claim; retained edges satisfy  $|p - q| \leq D$ .  $\square$

### Corollary 7: hypercube support bound for the routing spectrum

Under the assumptions of Proposition 6,

$$\text{supp}(\mu_\sigma) \subseteq [0, \min\{D, \log_2 P\}],$$

and therefore

$$C_D(\sigma) \leq \min\{D, \log_2 P\} \sum_{(p,q) \in E_D} w_{pq}.$$

**Proof.** Proposition 6 places every retained edge at radius no larger than  $\min\{D, \log_2 P\}$ . Proposition 4 then gives the cost bound.  $\square$

### Intuitive Interpretation

The hypercube is not merely a graph with small diameter. In this setting it compactifies the iQFT phase geometry. Consecutive logical blocks remain adjacent through Gray code, while the worst possible retained communication is forced into a logarithmic-radius ball.

## 7 Path-volume geometry for physical routes

The previous spectrum places one atom at the shortest physical distance for each retained logical interaction. The link to *Path-Length Distributions, Inverse Geometry, and Designed Neighborhood Spectra* is that shortest distance is only the first geometric observable: the richer object counts not only the shortest route, but the available routes around it.

For each retained logical edge  $e = (p, q) \in E_D$ , let  $\Gamma_e = \Gamma_{\sigma(p), \sigma(q)}$  be a family of admissible physical routes from  $\sigma(p)$  to  $\sigma(q)$ . Let  $c_a \geq 0$  be an edge cost, and define route length

$$L_c(\gamma) = \sum_{a \in \gamma} c_a.$$

Let  $\nu_e$  be a counting measure or weighted route measure on  $\Gamma_e$ . Define

$$F_e(\ell) = \nu_e\{\gamma \in \Gamma_e : L_c(\gamma) \leq \ell\}, \quad \rho_e = dF_e.$$

### Definition: phase-weighted iQFT path-volume spectrum

The global phase-weighted route-volume spectrum is

$$\rho_{\text{iQFT}} = \frac{1}{W} \sum_{e=(p,q) \in E_D} w_e \rho_e,$$

or equivalently

$$F_{\text{iQFT}}(\ell) = \frac{1}{W} \sum_{e=(p,q) \in E_D} w_e F_e(\ell).$$

The shortest-route spectrum  $\mu_\sigma$  is recovered by replacing each route family with a single atom at shortest distance:

$$\rho_e = \delta_{d_{\text{phys}}(\sigma(p), \sigma(q))}.$$

Thus the earlier theory is the degenerate shortest-path case of the path-volume theory.

**Intuitive Interpretation**

For a fixed retained iQFT interaction, hardware may offer many possible routes. Some are shortest, some are slightly longer, and some are long detours. The path-volume spectrum asks how much phase-weighted routing capacity exists at each route length.

**8 Inverse design of a QPU path-volume geometry**

The previous definitions suggest a genuine inverse problem, in the same spirit as reconstructing or designing geometry from prescribed path-length spectra. Instead of choosing a familiar physical graph and then analyzing its cost, choose a physical graph whose route-volume law matches the structure demanded by the distributed iQFT.

Let  $\rho^*$  be a desired route-volume spectrum: compact support for hard latency control, an exponential law matching iQFT phase decay, a shifted lognormal law for multiplicative route distortions, or an anchor-wise target spectrum around each QPU block. Then an inverse architecture design problem is

$$(G_{\text{phys}}^*, \sigma^*, c^*) = \arg \min_{G, \sigma, c} D(\rho_{\text{iQFT}}, \rho^*) + \lambda \Omega(G, \sigma, c) + \eta \mathcal{L}_{\text{hardware}}(G, c).$$

Here  $D$  may be Wasserstein distance, binned squared error, KL divergence when densities are available, or another discrepancy. The term  $\Omega$  prevents degeneracy, while  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{hardware}}$  may encode degree constraints, congestion, fabrication cost, latency, fidelity, or platform limits.

An anchor-wise version mirrors designed neighborhood spectra. For each logical block  $p$ , define

$$W_p = \sum_{q:(p,q) \in E_D} w_{pq}, \quad \mu_{\sigma,p} = \frac{1}{W_p} \sum_{q:(p,q) \in E_D} w_{pq} \delta_{d_{\text{phys}}(\sigma(p), \sigma(q))}.$$

Then optimize

$$\sigma^* = \arg \min_{\sigma} \sum_p D(\mu_{\sigma,p}, \mu_p^*) + \lambda C_D(\sigma) + \Omega(\sigma).$$

**Proposition 8: spectrum matching controls mean routing cost**

Let  $\mu_{\sigma}$  be the shortest-route spectrum and let  $\mu^*$  be a target probability measure on route lengths. If

$$W_1(\mu_{\sigma}, \mu^*) \leq \varepsilon,$$

where  $W_1$  is Wasserstein-1 distance, then

$$\left| \frac{C_D(\sigma)}{W} - \int r d\mu^*(r) \right| \leq \varepsilon.$$

In particular,

$$C_D(\sigma) \leq W \left( \int r d\mu^*(r) + \varepsilon \right).$$

**Proof.** The function  $f(r) = r$  is 1-Lipschitz on the nonnegative real line. By the Kantorovich-Rubinstein dual representation of  $W_1$ ,

$$\left| \int r d\mu_{\sigma}(r) - \int r d\mu^*(r) \right| \leq W_1(\mu_{\sigma}, \mu^*) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Using Proposition 3 gives the stated cost bound.  $\square$

**Intuitive Interpretation**

This is the cleanest bridge from inverse geometry back to engineering cost. If the learned or designed architecture matches a target routing spectrum in  $W_1$ , then its normalized communication cost is close to the target mean. Matching the spectrum is not just aesthetic; it controls the routing objective.

## 9 Sparsified routing fabrics and stretch

The inverse-geometry viewpoint also suggests a sparsification principle: remove edges according to a controlled spectrum, then require replacement paths to bound shortest-path distortion. The same logic applies here.

Let  $G_{\text{phys}}$  be a full physical routing fabric and let  $H \subseteq G_{\text{phys}}$  be a sparse physical routing fabric. Let  $d_H$  denote shortest-path distance inside  $H$ .

### Proposition 9: phase-weighted stretch bound

Suppose that for every retained distributed-iQFT edge  $(p, q) \in E_D$ ,

$$d_H(\sigma(p), \sigma(q)) \leq \tau d_{G_{\text{phys}}}(\sigma(p), \sigma(q))$$

for some  $\tau \geq 1$ . Then

$$C_D^H(\sigma) \leq \tau C_D^{G_{\text{phys}}}(\sigma).$$

**Proof.** Multiply the pointwise stretch inequality by  $w_{pq}$  and sum over  $(p, q) \in E_D$ .  $\square$

A tail-controlled version is also useful. Suppose a sparse fabric preserves all retained interactions up to radius  $R$ , and the remaining long-distance phase mass is at most  $\beta$ . If the sparse fabric has worst-case replacement stretch  $\tau$  on the long tail, then the total penalty is concentrated on a controlled portion of the phase mass. Distributional tail control says how much important structure is exposed to pruning; stretch control says how much error that pruning can introduce.

## 10 What has actually been added?

The routing note already gives a practical theorem: hypercube geometry with Gray-code placement bounds retained iQFT communication by  $\min\{D, \log_2 P\}$ . The companion inverse-geometry note, *Path-Length Distributions, Inverse Geometry, and Designed Neighborhood Spectra*, gives a broader principle: geometries can be represented, inferred, or designed through path-length and neighborhood spectra. The present extension adds four things.

1. **An intrinsic iQFT geometry.** Exponential phase decay induces a phase metric  $d_\phi$ , and the horizon graph is a ball graph in that metric.
2. **A spectral view of routing.** The scalar cost  $C_D$  is the first moment of a phase-weighted routing spectrum  $\mu_\sigma$ .
3. **A compactification interpretation.** The Gray-code hypercube result says the retained phase-weighted spectrum has bounded support inside  $[0, \min\{D, \log_2 P\}]$ .
4. **An inverse-design problem.** Physical QPU geometry can be optimized so that its route-volume spectrum matches the phase-weighted communication spectrum demanded by the iQFT.

## 11 Limitations and next experiments

The model abstracts away effects that a full architecture paper would need to include: edge congestion, routing conflicts, entanglement generation latency, purification overhead, correlated noise, and platform-specific degree constraints. A natural congestion functional is

$$C_D^{\text{cong}}(\sigma) = \sum_{a \in E_{\text{phys}}} \Psi \left( \sum_{(p,q) \in E_D} w_{pq} \mathbf{1}\{a \in \gamma_{pq}\} \right),$$

where  $\gamma_{pq}$  is the chosen route and  $\Psi$  penalizes edge load. The route-volume spectrum could then be paired with a congestion spectrum.

The best next experiment is probably computational: fix  $P, D$ , and  $w_{pq}$ ; compute  $\mu_\sigma$  for several candidate physical graphs, such as a line, ring, grid, hypercube, expander, or sparse learned fabric; then compare their spectra. The picture should make the architecture choice visible: where is the phase mass, how heavy is the tail, and how much of the iQFT is forced into expensive routes?

## 12 One-sentence summary

The distributed iQFT induces a phase-weighted logical geometry; a hardware placement converts that geometry into a routing spectrum; and architecture design becomes the inverse problem of choosing a physical QPU path-volume geometry whose short routes carry the important phase mass.

## References

- [1] Jonathan R. Landers. *Routing Costs for Distributed Inverse QFT Architectures*. Short extension note, May 2026.
- [2] J. R. Landers. *Path-Length Distributions, Inverse Geometry, and Designed Neighborhood Spectra*. Companion note, April 2026.
- [3] F. Javier Cardama, Jorge Vazquez-Perez, Tomas F. Pena, and Andres Gomez. *Communication-Efficient Distributed Inverse Quantum Fourier Transform*. arXiv:2605.10710, 2026. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2605.10710>
- [4] Richard Cleve and John Watrous. *Fast Parallel Circuits for the Quantum Fourier Transform*. arXiv:quant-ph/0006004, 2000. Later published in *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 45(4):1570–1595, 2016.
- [5] D. Coppersmith. *An Approximate Fourier Transform Useful in Quantum Factoring*. arXiv:quant-ph/0201067, 2002. Originally IBM Research Report RC19642, 1994.
- [6] Peter W. Shor. *Polynomial-Time Algorithms for Prime Factorization and Discrete Logarithms on a Quantum Computer*. arXiv:quant-ph/9508027, 1995.